G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1898-99
~~191~~ .
(In Misc)

File No. 192

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Continuance of the annual allowance of Rs 100 to the Sri Baneswara Temple which was getting the same from the ferry Collections at Marchenahalli, Yedatore Taluk



Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

Dy Bangalore 26th April 1899.

No. 12174
L 7405-92

In L. 7405-92

Official Memorandum.

Letter No. 736 dated 21st February 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, enquiring whether the amount of Ready Money Inam payable to Bameswara Temple in the Yedatore Taluk is to be paid out of District Funds, together with file on the subject, is forwarded to the Muzrai Superintendent for any representation he may wish to make on behalf of the Temple, which however is recognized Muzrai institution.

"By Order."

For Secretary.

To. The Muzrai Superintendent.

Compd. 27/4

Copied

General Serial No. 30.

189

From The Revenue Supt.

To The Secretary to Govt, Genl and Rev dept.

Dated 19th

Despatched 25th May 1899

Received

Enclosures No. One file.

General No. 1242
No.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No. 12174 G-7-405-92 dated 26th April 1899, regarding the continuance of the annual allowance of Rs 100 to the Sri Bawaswara temple, which was hitherto getting the same from the ferry collections at Kaucherakalli, Taluk, I have the honor to state that as the ferry at that place has since been abolished, I do not think, the temple can with any justice claim the payment of the

From the Secretariat no 13647-9 d/16/5/99

said allowance from the
toll collections. The temple
can claim the allowance
only so long as the ferry
was in existence, but now that a bridge has been constructed
~~that~~ and the ferry ~~has been~~ consequently
abolished, the right of the
temple to receive the allowance
in question should ~~however~~
be considered to have ceased

2. It is stated in your
letter under advertance
that the temple is not a
recognized Inam but from the Registers of
this office I find that it is
a Inam village receiving
a Ready Money Grant of
Rs 11-10-0 a year from
the Govt. But, this
does not in any ~~way~~ way
affect the question ~~now~~ at issue.

3. The enclosures to your letter
under reply are herewith
returned. Thanks &c.

Inam Supt.



Proceedings of the Government of Mysore:

Read again:—

refer

(1) Government Proceedings No. 4610-11
L.F. 405.92
dated 12th September 1893 sanctioning the payment from the District Funds of the Mysore Circle a ready money grant of Rs 100 per annum to the Baneswara temple at Manchenahally in the Yedatore Taluk, in commutation of the right of management of the ferry at that place which was transferred to the District Fund Board from the long and uninterrupted enjoyment, by the Inamdars of Manchenahally who devoted the proceeds to the maintenance of the Baneswar Temple.

(2.) Government Proceedings No. 9498-9
L.F. 405.92
dated 15th February 1899 sanctioning a bill for Rs 400, being the amount

of

of Ready Money Inam payable
to the Baneswara Temple
for four years ending 1897.98.
Read :—

Letter No. 736. dated 21st February 99
from the Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore District stating that
the Ferry at Manchenahalli was
abolished in the year 1896.97 on
the completion of the Yedatore
bridge and enquiring whether
the payment of ready money
inam from the District Funds
should nevertheless continue.

(2.) Letter No. 1242. dated 19th May 99
from the Muzrai Superinten-
dent stating with reference to the
O.M. No. 12174 dated 26th April 1899.
L.F. 40592
that as the Ferry at Manchena-
hally has been abolished, the
Temple

Temple, which is a Muzrai institution, cannot with justice claim the continuance of the allowance.

Order thereon No 13647-9
d. 7495-92
Dated, Bangalore, 1st June 1899

It is observed that the annual grant of Rs 100 was sanctioned from District Funds as the collections realized by the ferry were credited to those funds. It was not contemplated that the payment should be made irrespective of such realizations and the ferry having now ceased to exist the Government direct that the grant should cease from the date when the Bridge in question was completed and the ferry abolished.

For Secretary
Comptd.

To.

To

The President, Directors and
Board, of Managers
of the
Citizens' Savings Bank
of Cincinnati Ohio